

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/036,131	12/26/2001	Debasis Majumdar	82906D-W	3375
7590 05/20/2004			EXAMINER	
Paul A. Leipold			KOPEC, MARK T	
Patent Legal Staff			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Eastman Kodak Company 343 State Street			1751	
Rochester, NY 14650-2201			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		n
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/036,131	MAJUMDAR ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mark Kopec	1751
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS f te. cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed  days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
,	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-20 and 33-36</u> is/5) □ Claim(s) <u></u> is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11, 21-32 and 37</u> is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) <u></u> is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) <u></u> are subject to restriction and/	are withdrawn from considerati	on.
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac		
Applicant may not request that any objection to th		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the t	Examiner. Note the attached Or	noc Addon di Idini 1 10 102.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Ints have been received in Appli Iority documents have been receau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No eived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	A) □	man (PTO 413)
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) ail Date
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1751

This action is responsive to applicant's amendment/remarks filed 4/2/04. Claims 1-37 are currently pending with claims 12-20 and 33-36 withdrawn from consideration.

Applicant's confirmed election of Species I (ionic conductor) is noted.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

The prior art rejections over Delnick and Enlow are withdrawn in view of applicant's amendments and remarks. These references do not disclose or suggest aqueous compositions as claimed.

Claims 1, 3-11, 21-32, 37 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35
U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Zaleski (4,981,729).

This rejection is maintained for the reasons set forth at pages 6-7 of the Rejection mailed 1/13/04.

Claims 1-4, 9-11, 21-32, 37 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35
U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Winnik et al (5,378,574).

This rejection is maintained for the reasons set forth at pages 7-9 of the Rejection mailed 1/13/04.

Art Unit: 1751

Claims 1, 3-8, 21-29 and 37 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35
U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Schreiber et al (5,804,615).

This rejection is maintained for the reasons set forth at pages 11-14 of the Rejection mailed 1/13/04.

Applicant's arguments filed 4/2/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to each of the above rejections, applicant argues that each of the references teach varying amounts of organic solvent which are required, not just optional.

Accordingly, applicant contends that the references do not teach water (aqueous) as a solvent as required by the instant claims.

The examiner does not dispute that the references require the presence of organic solvent. However, the examiner respectfully submits the instant claim limitations are met by the prior art. Specifically, the instant claims have been amended to recite "An aqueous composition..." (water containing). While water is required, the presence of additional solvent(s) is not precluded. Each reference clearly discloses the addition of water to the conductive compositions. Mixtures of water and organic solvent, as disclosed in these references, meet the claimed limitations of "aqueous".

Art Unit: 1751

With respect to Shreiber teaching away from chlorinated polyolefin, the examiner respectfully submits that the reference teaches the addition of such materials (within any claimed amounts). See Col 4, lines 30-41.

In view of the foregoing, the above claims have failed to patentably distinguish over the applied art.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Kopec whose telephone number is (571) 272-1319. The examiner

Art Unit: 1751

can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Yogendra Gupta can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark Kopec
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1751

Page 5

MK May 18, 2004